Application No.: 10/517,836

Reply After Final dated June 28, 2006

to Office Action of March 30, 2006

Page 9 of 12

REMARKS

Claims 1-10 are pending in the present application.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 2, 4/2, 5/4/2, and 9 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being

anticipated by Kataoka et al. (USP 5,859,365). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

As Applicants stated in the Reply filed on January 18, 2006, Kataoka discloses, in Fig. 1,

a fuel tank 1 for a motor vehicle that includes a tank body 3 (corresponds to the "first container"

of the claimed invention of the present application) constituting the outer casing of the tank, and

a soft sack 5 for accommodating fuel F, disposed in the internal space of the tank body 3. The

sack 5 partitions the internal space of the tank body 3 into a fuel chamber 7 for accommodating

fuel and a pressure adjusting chamber 9 communicating with the atmosphere. Thus, the fuel F

accommodated in the fuel chamber 7 is segregated from the atmosphere (see col. 6, lines 10-19).

Therefore, in Kataoka, that fuel F is isolated from air accommodated in the internal space

(i.e., the pressure adjusting chamber 9) of the tank body 3.

In contrast, in the claimed invention of the present application, the liquid fuel contained

in the first container makes "direct contact with air within in said first container."

Such a feature is not disclosed or suggested by Kataoka.

Moreover, the claimed invention of the present application has the following advantages

over Kataoka:

1) The device of Kataoka requires two passages due to its structure. One passage is

for leaving the pressure adjusting chamber open to the atmosphere, and the other

Docket No.: 1602-0191PUS1

Application No.: 10/517,836 Docket No.: 1602-0191PUS1

Reply After Final dated June 28, 2006

to Office Action of March 30, 2006

Page 10 of 12

passage is for feeding fuel into the soft sack 5. In contrast, the present invention

only required one passage because fuel is not isolated from air within a first

container. The construction of the present invention is therefore more simple than

that of Kataoka;

2) In the device of Kataoka, when the pressure in the pressure adjusting chamber 9 is

higher than the pressure in the sack 5, fuel would spurt from the fuel supply

opening 11 once the fuel supply opening 11 is opened to feed fuel into the fuel

chamber 7. In contrast, the present invention is free from such a disadvantage; and

3) In the device of Kataoka, when fuel is fed into the fuel chamber 7, it is necessary

to open both the fuel supply opening 11 and the pressure adjusting valve 17 for

decreasing the pressure in the pressure adjusting chamber 9 so that it becomes

lower than the pressure in the sack 5. In contrast, such the present invention does

not require such an operation.

Claims 4 and 5, variously dependent on claim 2, are allowable at least for their

dependency on claim 2.

Claim 9 is allowable at least for the similar reasons as stated in the foregoing with regard

to claim 2.

In view of this, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw this

rejection.

Application No.: 10/517,836

Reply After Final dated June 28, 2006

to Office Action of March 30, 2006

Page 11 of 12

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's indication that claims 1, 3, 4/1, 5/4/1, 6-8, and 10

Docket No.: 1602-0191PUS1

are allowable over the prior art of record.

Conclusion

Accordingly, in view of the above amendments and remarks, reconsideration of the

rejections and allowance of the pending claims in the present application are respectfully requested.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to enter this Amendment After Final in that it

raises no new issues. Alternatively, the Examiner is respectfully requested to enter this

Amendment After Final in that it places the application in better form for Appeal.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Maki Hatsumi (#40,417) at the

telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite

prosecution in connection with the present application.

TCB/MH/pih

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP

Application No.: 10/517,836 Docket No.: 1602-0191PUS1

Reply After Final dated June 28, 2006 to Office Action of March 30, 2006

Page 12 of 12

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or to credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: June 28, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Terrell C. Birch

Registration No.: 19,382

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant